West 83 Prescribed Burn Review Supplemental

In addition to the common outcome review elements, a declared wildfire review must include the following analysis and may be addressed in a separate review:

An analysis of the seasonal severity, weather events, and on-site conditions leading up to the wildfire declaration.

• An analysis of the seasonal severity, weather events, and on-site conditions concluded in no findings outside of prescription parameters. The observed and forecasted weather was well within the prescription parameters and the seasonal weather pattern was consistent with historical weather data for that time of year. There were no indications of drought or any other additional factors that would contribute to control issues. The on-site conditions were well within prescription and were considered conducive to meeting desired prescription results.

An analysis of the prescribed fire plan for consistency with agency policy and guidance related to prescribed fire planning and implementation.

• An analysis of the prescribed fire plan for consistency with agency policy and guidance found that the burn plan needed to be updated to the most currant format. The plan was consistent in containing all required information and documentation relating to the prescribed fire planning and implementation needed for a burn of its complexity.

An analysis of prescribed fire implementation for consistency with the prescription, actions, and procedures in the prescribed fire plan.

• An analysis of the prescribed fires implementation revealed no inconsistencies in relation to the prescription, the actions taken, or the procedures used in the implementation of the prescribed fire related to the fire plan. Ignition patterns and holding resources were deployed and adjusted to meet prescription and plan parameters as needed to adapt to wind shifts and local terrain features. All tactical actions taken were commensurate to the procedures outlined in the prescribed fire plan.

The approving agency administrator's qualifications, experience, and involvement.

• The Agency Administrator was involved in the evaluation of the plan prior to ignition, and actively participated in the go-no go checklist. The Agency Administrator was certified at the Working level and has several years of experience as an Agency Administrator working in the respective fuel type.

The qualifications and experience of key personnel involved.

• The key personnel involved where highly qualified and had previously conducted multiple burns in the immediate area and fuel type. The Burn Boss was qualified at the RXB2 level. The Holding Boss was qualified as a RXB2 and TFLD.